

Product Rubric

	Advanced	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
Planning	Had a clear and documented plan that developed and evolved as problems were encountered; student adhered to entire proposal	80% of proposal requirements met; two journals do not reflect adequate project progress	70% of proposal requirements met; three journals do not reflect adequate project progress	60% of proposal requirements met; four journals do not reflect adequate project progress
Execution	Strong evidence suggesting student executed an original and independent project; clear learning stretch	N/A	N/A	Student will meet with committee
Knowledge	All research (including NTGs) directly applied to product; able to answer all committee questions	80% of research directly applied to product; student is unable to answer two clarifying questions	70% of research directly applied to product; student is unable to answer three clarifying questions	60% of research directly applied to product; student is unable to answer four clarifying questions
Task/Quality	Product development reflects a (school) year-long project; put together with attention to detail	Product development reflects a (school) year-long project; minor details overlooked	Product development reflects project that could be completed in fewer than six months; major details overlooked	Product development reflects project that could be completed in fewer than three months; haphazardly put together
Problem Solving	Problems are solved as they are encountered; evidence of anticipatory thinking to prevent problems	Solved some problems but left some unresolved; final product is not affected by these problems	Some problems left unresolved; details of the product were affected as a result	Left problems unsolved, which affected overall quality of final product; product may be incomplete
Mentor	Mentor evaluation is advanced	Mentor evaluation is satisfactory	Mentor evaluation is marginal	Mentor evaluation is unsatisfactory
Distinguishing Characteristics	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student demonstrates direct application of <i>wide</i> research base in development of product. 2. Product is polished and professionally done. 3. Student looked to multiple and/or creative sources or methods for problem solving. 4. All faculty progress checks are in the advanced category. 5. Product reflects creativity or innovative thinking. 			

Portfolio submitted for product grading (+1).